Canning Street Residents Parking Scheme (extension to Area H) Consultation Report January 2012

Background

In September 2009 a letter plus short questionnaire about parking issues was sent to all property addresses in the Hanover and Elm Grove Area. In addition workshops had also been held in the local area with residents and stakeholders to establish sufficient demand to proceed to informal consultation on the introduction of a residents parking scheme. Maps and plans for consultation on a proposed parking scheme for Hanover and Elm Grove area were designed, based on evidence gathered in these 3 exercises, and also from on-street parking surveys conducted by Mott MacDonald (traffic engineering and transport planning consultancy) and in consultation with ward councillors.

It was decided not to proceed with a scheme for the Hanover and Elm Grove area due to the negative response from the overall area.

However, respondents from Canning Street were, at that point, in favour of joining a scheme. At the Environment Cabinet Member Meeting on 9 November, 2011 it was agreed to consult these residents again to determine whether they would like the opportunity to join the neighbouring Area H (Royal Sussex County Hospital) residents parking scheme

Headline Findings

The consultation achieved a 51% response rate.

88% of respondents are in favour of joining the Area H extension.

Methodology

Brighton and Hove City Council Land and Property Gazetteer was used to provide 67 property addresses in Canning Street, Brighton. An information leaflet, detailed maps, a questionnaire and a prepaid envelope for reply was sent to each address.

For the first time in a BHCC Residents Parking Scheme Consultation, respondents were invited to complete the survey online; 5 respondents (15%) chose this method.

Plans could also be viewed at exhibitions staffed by officers from Brighton & Hove City Council at:

St Mary's Church Hall, 61 St James' Street, Brighton

- o Tuesday 10 January, 2012, 1.30pm to 5.30pm
- o Thursday 12 January, 2012, 3.30pm to 7pm

There was also an unstaffed exhibition at Hove Town Hall, Norton Road from Tuesday 3 January, 2012 to Tuesday 31 January, 2012, 9am to 5pm.

34 valid responses were received giving a response rate of 51%. There was also one response received from outside the area which has not been included in the overall results but is attached as further information.

Results

Q1 Are you in favour of a residents parking scheme in your street?

	erties ed onses ived				Yes No		0
Street	No. properti mailed	No. respon receive	Respor rate %	No.	%	No.	%
Canning Street	67	34	51	30	88	4	12

Q2 Respondents were asked whether they are a resident, a business owner or manager or work in the area. Respondents could tick more than one option.

	No.	%
	responses	respondents
Resident	34	100
Business owner or manager	2	6
Work in the area	2	6
Other – I work from home and	1	3
partly on location		

Q3a How many cars in your household?

No. of cars	No.	Total No.	%
	responses	cars	
0	7	0	20
1	22	22	65
2	5	10	15
Total	34	32	100

34 respondents have at least 32 vehicles between them.

Q3b Do you have access to off-street car parking?

N	No		No reply	
No.	%	No.	%	
33	97	1	3	100

Q4a What type of business do you own or manage in the area?

	No.	%
What type of business?	responses	responses
Retail outlet	0	0
Office-based	2	100
Other:	2	0
 Mobile handyman 		
 Self-employed gardener 		
Total responses	2	100

Q4b How many vehicles are directly associated with your business?

	No.	Total No.	%
No. of vehicles	responses	vehicles	
0	0	0	0
1	4	4	100
Total	4	4	100

Q5 Any other comments?

An open text box enabled respondents to add comments. Although expressed in residents' own words analysis of the open text shows common themes emerged and have been grouped as follows:

	No. of	%
Comment	responses	responses
This will provide better access for emergency vehicles	9	35
General positive comments	7	27
This will discourage long term parkers and commuters	6	23
(esp Brighton College people)		
This will stop pavement parking	2	7.5
General negative comments	2	7.5
Total comments	26	100

Demographic Information

Gender

Gender	No.	%
Male	13	38
Female	20	59
Prefer not to say/ no reply	1	3
Total	34	100

Age

Age	No.	%
U18	0	0
18-24	1	3
25-34	6	17.5
35-44	6	17.5
45-54	9	26.5
55-64	8	23.5
65-74	2	6
75+	1	3
Prefer not to say/ no reply	1	3
Total	34	100

Disability

Disability	No.	%
Yes	4	12
No	22	65
Prefer not to say/ no reply	8	23
Total	34	100

Ethnicity

Ethnicity		No.	%
White	English/ Welsh/	28	82
	Scottish/ Northern Irish/		
	British		
	Irish	1	3
	Gypsy	_	-
	Traveller	_	-
	Polish	_	-
	Portuguese	_	-
	Any other white	4	12
	background		
Asian or Asian British	Bangladeshi	-	-
	Indian	-	-
	Pakistani	-	-
	Chinese	-	-
	Any other Asian	-	-
	background		
Black or Black British	African	-	-
	Caribbean	_	-
	Sudanese	-	-
	Any other black	-	-
	background		
Mixed	Asian & White	-	-
	Asian & Black African	-	-
	Asian & Black	-	-
	Caribbean		
	White & Black African	-	-
	White & Black	-	-
	Caribbean		
	Any other mixed	-	-
	background		
Other ethnic group	Turkish	-	-
	Arab	-	-
	Japanese	-	-
	Other ethnic group	-	-
Prefer not to say/ no reply		1	3
Total		34	100

The following forms were not included in the results:

 1 form which was received from outside the scheme area; a synopsis of this response is included in the further information.

Further Information

1 online response was received from someone living on Marine Parade which is outside the area. The respondent is not in favour of the scheme.

An email was also received from a resident in Hendon Street which is just outside the road consulted who had concerns with the consultation.